

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/737,416	12/15/2003	Hakan Oner	021803-4.00US	6359	
20350 7	7590 06/29/2005	EXAMINER			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			CHO, JAMES HYONCHOL		
TWO EMBAR EIGHTH FLO	CADERO CENTER OR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			2819		
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 December 2003. 2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application 5-26 and 31 is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 5-26 and 31 is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 5-26 and 31 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * O None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Paper No(e)/Mail Date. Paper						41.				
Examiner James Cho 2819 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheat with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limity filed - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum distutory period will apply and will expire 3X (6) MONTHS from his mailing date of his communication. - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum distutory period will apply and will expire 3X (6) MONTHS from his mailing date of his communication. - If all the chief of the reply is specified above, the maximum distutory period will apply and will expire 3X (6) MONTHS from his mailing date of his communication. - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum distutory period will apply and will expire 3X (6) MONTHS from his mailing date of his communication. - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum distutory period will apply and will expire 3X (6) MONTHS from his mailing date of his communication. - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum distutory period will apply and will expire 3X (6) MONTHS from his mailing date of his communication. - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum distutory period will apply and will expire 3X (6) MONTHS from his mailing date of his communication. - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum distutory period will apply and will expire 3X (6) MONTHS from his mailing date of his communication. - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum distutory period will apply and will expire 3X (6) MONTHS from his mailing date of his communication. - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum distutory period to the period of the period will apply and will expire 3X (6) MONTHS from his maximum distutory period by the period of the per			Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
James Cho Jam			10/737,416		ONER ET AL.					
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extendino for them may be available under the provision of 3 of SPR 1136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (b) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication of 3 of SPR 1136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (b) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication of the state of the second provision of the state of the second provision of the state of the second provision of the sec		Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Lateriation of time may be available under the previous of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled Lift the period rorely appendied above. The maximum statutory principle with the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered timely. Lift Do principle from the page state above, the maximum statutory principle will expire SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailtage date of the communication. Fallow to reply specified above, the maximum statutory principle will expire SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailtage date of the communication, even it firmely filled, may reduce any canada patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 15 December 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-4 and 27-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-4 and 27-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-4 and 27-30 is/are rejected to the claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-5 and 27-30 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) because the arrangement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.55(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.55(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.55(a). Application Papers 9) The above claim(s) the principle documents have been received in Application No. 10 All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un			1			<u> </u>				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 5X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period reply specified above is less than think (20) depay, a right position of control or pay specified above is less than think (20) depay. If the period for reply well, the period of the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply day day with the state of this Communication. Failure for reply within the set or extended priod for reply well, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office and the burn the replication of the communication. Set of this communication is provided by the Office and the particle of this communication. Set of this communication is provided by the Office and the provided by the Office and the provided by the Communication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5inc claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) and 27-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	Period fo		pears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address	•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 December 2003. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) 1-4 and 27-30 is/are rejected. 7)☑ Claim(s) 5-26 and 31 is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) 5-26 and 31 is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) 5-26 and 31 is/are objected to. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9)□ The oath ord requires that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * o)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, y within the statutory will apply and will ex o, cause the applicat	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 27-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-26 and 31 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 5-26 and 31 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status									
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) are subjected to is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 De	ecember 2003	<u>3</u> .						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-	-final.		•				
Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) december of receipted to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) decepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) objected or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	3)	<u>-</u>								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-26 and 31 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quay	le, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) are subject to rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposit	ion of Claims								
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☒ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.	•							
6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 27-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-26 and 31 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 5-26 and 31 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consi	deration.						
7) Claim(s) 5-26 and 31 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	5)									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 27-30</u> is/are rejected.								
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	7)🖂									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Appear No(s)/Mail Date	8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requ	uirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Applicat	ion Papers			•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Paritsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)	objected to by the E	xaminer.	•				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Attachment(s)		Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be h	neld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required	if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	1(d).				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s)	Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	-		priority under	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	a)	<u> </u>								
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) O										
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Output										
Attachment(s))		_ ' '	=		d in this National Stage					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified	d copies not receive	d.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Λ#2=b	**(a)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		• •	<i>4</i> \	Interview Summan	(PTO-413)					
			4)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:	3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/737,416

Art Unit: 2819

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 27 is objected to because of the following informalities:

"second terminals" on line 2 and 5 appears to be --second output terminals-respectively. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1- 4 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamauchi (US PAT No. 6,356,141).

Regarding claim 1, Fig. 1 of Yamauchi teaches a low-voltage differential signal driver having first and second output terminals (Vo1, Vo2), the low-voltage differential signal driver comprising: a current sourcing circuit (11) adapted to generate a current (Idp); a current steering circuit (12,13,15,16) coupled to receive the generated current and first and second input signals, where in response to the first and second input signals the current steering circuit steers the current either in a first direction (IN being low and XIN being high; col. 3, lines 60-67) to generate a positive differential voltage across the first and second output terminals or in a second direction (IN being high and

XIN being low; col. 3, line 67 - col. 4, line 6) to generate a negative differential voltage across the first and second output terminals; a current sinking circuit (14) coupled to the current steering circuit and adapted to receive and sink the generated current; a voltage dividing circuit (Rt, Rt) disposed between the first and second output terminals (Vo1, Vo2) and adapted to divide the voltage generated between the first and second output terminals; a first voltage regulating circuit (40) coupled to the current sinking circuit (14) and the current steering circuit (via 122 and 124); and a second voltage regulating circuit (35) coupled to the current sourcing circuit (11) and the voltage dividing circuit (Rt).

Regarding claim 2, Fig. 1 of Yamauchi teaches the low-voltage differential signal driver of claim 1, where the first voltage regulating circuit further comprises a first differential amplifier (35), and where the second voltage regulating circuit further comprises a second differential amplifier (40).

Regarding claim 3, Fig. 1 of Yamauchi teaches the low-voltage differential signal driver of claim 2 further comprising a replicating circuit (36, 37, 38) adapted to receive a first voltage supply (Vdd) and deliver a reference voltage signal (voltage at Nn) to the first voltage regulating circuit (to positive terminal of 40).

Application/Control Number: 10/737,416

Art Unit: 2819

Regarding claim 4, Fig. 1 of Yamauchi teaches the low-voltage differential signal driver of claim 3 where the first voltage supply (Vdd) supplies its voltage to the second voltage regulating circuit (to positive terminal of 35).

Regarding claim 27, Fig. 1 of Yamauchi teaches method for supplying a low-voltage differential signal across first and second terminals (Vo1, Vo2), the method comprising: generating a current (11, Idp) using a first voltage (Vgp); steering the generated current in a first direction to generate a positive differential output voltage across the first and second terminals in response to a first set of input signals (IN being low and XIN being high; col. 3, lines 60-67) and steering the generated current in a second direction to generate a negative differential output voltage across the first and second terminals in response to a second set of input signals (IN being high and XIN being low; col. 3, line 67 - col. 4, line 6); dividing the differential output voltage generated between the first and second input terminals to generate a weighted average thereof (Vm); regulating the weighted average of the differential output voltage (col. 4, lines 19-24); sinking the generated current (14, Idn); and regulating the first voltage (30).

Regarding claim 28, Fig. 1 of Yamauchi teaches the method of claim 27 where the weighted average of the differential output voltage is regulated using an operational amplifier (35) that receives a first supply voltage (voltage at Np) at one of its input terminals (positive terminal of 35).

Regarding claim 29, Fig. 1 of Yamauchi teaches the method of claim 28 where the first voltage is regulated using a reference voltage generated by a replicating circuit (col. 4, lines 25-40).

Regarding claim 30, Fig. 1 of Yamauchi teaches the method of claim 29 further comprising: limiting the current flowing out of or into the first and second output terminals (col. 4, lines 16-24).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-26 and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Although Yamauchi teaches a driver with a constant current output, one of ordinary skill in the art would not have been motivated to modify the teaching of Yamauchi to further includes, among other things, the specific of the replicating circuit further comprising a third voltage regulating circuit as required by claim 5, and the method of tri-stating the differential output voltage as required by claim 31.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2819

Roper et al. (US PAT No. 6,900,663) discloses a low voltage differential signal driver circuit.

Preuss et al. (US PAT No. 6,603,348) discloses a center tap level control for current mode differential driver.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Cho whose telephone number is 571-272-1802. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30 AM - 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Tokar can be reached on 571-272-1812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James H. Cho Primary Examiner Art Unit 2819

6-27-2005